UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,171	01/27/2006	Marcus Bachmann	2003P12731WOUS	2510
28204 SIEMENS SCH	7590 03/05/200 IWEIZ AG	EXAMINER		
I-47, INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
ALBISRIEDERSTRASSE 245 ZURICH, CH-8047 SWITZERLAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,171	BACHMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,10 and 12-15 is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,10 and 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to by the Extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to be a specific to the extended Replacement or declaration is objected to the extended Replacement or declaration is obj	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "half-cones" recited in claim 1; the "cone" recited in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,171 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 1 recites "...wherein the washing nozzle is fixed in an insert arranged in the nozzle holder..." It is uncertain whether the "washing nozzle" is a separate element that is arranged in an "insert" or the "washing nozzle" is merely a bore in an "insert."

Claim 1 recites "...the insert retained in a rotatable manner and comprising a washing nozzle..." The "washing nozzle" appears to be a double inclusion of the washing nozzle recited in line 3.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "their section planes" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "large" in claim 3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

The term "large" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is uncertain what degree of

Art Unit: 3752

size is being defined between the washing nozzle opening and the diameter of the washing fluid jet.

The preamble of claim 1 recites, "A device arranged in a motor vehicle for cleaning a window or headlamp lens, comprising:..." The preamble of the dependent claims recites, "The device according to..." It is uncertain whether applicant is claim a combination of the device and motor vehicle or the subcombination of the device.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 15 recites the broad recitation "shaped elements," and the claim also recites "preferably spikes, protrusions, grooves, bores" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Above is an exemplary listing. Applicant is required to review and amend all of the claims in their entirety for full compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,171 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newill (2,898,036) in view of Christodoulou et al. (WO02/44608).

Newill discloses a device comprising:

a washing nozzle 62 retained by a nozzle holder 10, 52; means 66 for adjusting an angle of inclination of the washing nozzle 62; wherein the washing nozzle 62 is fixed in an insert 50; the nozzle holder 10, 52 ahs a chamber 28, 64;

the nozzle holder 52 is mushroom form (figure 4) and on the underside of its head region has latching means (mounting hardware; column 2, lines 15-20).

Newill does not disclose that insert 50 is divided along a longitudinal axis into tow substantial half-cylinders connected at one edge.

Christodoulou teaches to manufacture a cylindrical object 8 from two half cylinders 20, 21 with a hinge 22.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed the insert of Newill from two half cylinders with a hinge

Art Unit: 3752

as taught by Christodoulou for ease of manufacturing (Christodoulou, column 6, lines 12-15).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752 Application/Control Number: 10/566,171 Page 7

Art Unit: 3752

CK